Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,986	SATCHI-FAINARO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624	

All Participants:

(1) *Venkataraman Balasubramanian*.

(2) *David Resnick*.

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Status of Application: *Allowance*

Date of Interview: *11 March 2005*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1-3

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Potential 112 rejections of claims 1-3 based on n vlaues were discussed. Counselor authorized to amend claims to limit n to 2 or 3 and replace "composition" recited in claim 2 with "compound" by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)